

SC Public School Facilities Subcommittee Meeting
Division 6-9
April 19, 2006
10:00 a.m.

Members Present: Jacqueline Myers, Jeffrey Reynolds, and Stan Gailey

Members Absent: Rick Ott and Robert Mitchell

Advisory Members Present: None

Advisory Members Absent: Jim Brennan, Steve Coe, Bill Lewis, Jamie Spears, and Steve Usry

Others Present: Alex James and Steven Jenkins

Stenographer: Angelia Hawes

Mr. James welcomed those in attendance.

Mr. Reynolds made a motion to accept any additional items that may come up during today's meeting to be discussed after all other items have been discussed. Ms. Myers seconded the motion. The motion carried.

Mr. James brought attention to the "Notice of General Public Interest" that is posted in the State Register and included with the agenda today. This document must be posted yearly and presented at the subcommittee meetings and will be shared at the full committee meeting scheduled for October 12, 2006.

801.1 Procurement. A discussion was held on the bidding and awarding of construction for South Carolina public schools. And, that this procedure is done locally in each school district using design professionals.

Discussion was held on 802 Requirements for Bidding. Requiring a building permit from OSF before bidding, and a Certificate of Occupancy before occupancy. Discussion included creating a document to assist OSF while performing inspections so that the owner could have something in writing about the findings of OSF. Mr. James recommended that we present this to the full committee for an item of discussion. A legal question still exists of how we can set up a system if compliances need to be defined. Ms. Myers made the motion to present this to the full committee. Seconded by Mr. Reynolds. The motion carried.

902.1 Clarification when Change Orders are Required. Information was given on the history of the change order. Discussion followed on change order requirements. Ms. Myers made the motion to modify 902.1 as follows. Any submitted change, addition, or modification to plans previously approved by OSF, which affect adherence to codes, regulations, and/or statutes shall be submitted to OSF and the agency which has jurisdiction (DHEC, DOT, etc.) prior to execution of the change orders or change directive. Changes will not be considered by OSF without the approval of the architects and engineers whose stamps appear on the drawings. All submitted change orders shall have a code impact statement from the architect and/or engineer. Mr. Reynolds seconded. The motion carried.

902 Changes/Change Orders section was discussed and is to stand as is. However, 905.2 Change Orders was discussed and wording decided on as follows. All change orders which affect adherence to codes, regulations, and/or statutes shall be forwarded by the design professional to OSF and the agency which has jurisdiction (DHEC, DOT, etc.). If OSF determines that the change order submittal does not properly adhere to codes, regulations, and/or statutes, the design professional shall be notified. The corrected submittal change order shall then be resubmitted to OSF.

905.2.1 Change Orders. Adjust this to read as follows: All change orders which affect adherence to codes, regulations, and/or status shall be forwarded by the design professional to OSF and the agency which has jurisdiction (DHEC, DOT, etc.). If OSF determines that the change order does not properly adhere to codes, regulations, and/or statutes, the design professional shall be notified. The corrected change order submittal shall then be resubmitted to OSF. Ms. Myers made the motion to accept the above wording. Mr. Reynolds seconded. The motion carried.

905.2.2 Change Orders. Delete the following wording, "If prior approval was not requested by the design professional, OSF upon receipt of the executed change order will then review for adherence to regulations, code, and statutes. If exceptions are taken, the design professional will be notified and shall then take appropriate action." And, add the wording as follows. "The accuracy of change orders as to arithmetic and general equity is the responsibility of the district and design professional, and OSF will not review these aspects of the change order.

903.1 Inspection Required by Code. Information concerning the inspection manual was shared and discussed concerning inspection application procedures including the process. Ms. Myers made the motion to table this for further review by Mr. John Kent at which time it will be resubmitted to this subcommittee for voting member comment. Once that process is complete it will be added to the agenda for the full committee. Mr. Reynolds seconded. The motion carried.

Other agenda items

The 2004 *South Carolina School Facilities Planning and Construction Guide* will be put on the website.

Ms. Myers brought up concerns about conduit above the ceilings and having to provide conduit for speaker wires for sound systems above the ceiling. Concerns were expressed that the use of conduit for these low voltage wires seems to be extreme. Mr. James recommended that the Engineering Subcommittee respond to this concern. Ms. Myers will e-mail Mr. James with a request for this to be considered for review in the engineering subcommittee.

Ms. Myers made a motion to adjourn. Mr. Gailey seconded. Motion carried. The meeting adjourned at 11:10 a.m.